Chapter 4: The Ailing Planet: the Green Movement's Role

CONTENTS — 4 exercises · 16 questions

4.1	Understanding the Text	4 Q
4.2	Talking about the Text	4 Q
4.3	Thinking about Language	7 Q
4.4	Working with Words	1 Q

Exercise 4.1 — Understanding the Text

Q1 Locate the lines in the text that support the title 'The Ailing Planet'.

SOLUTION

Several lines across the essay directly support the title by presenting the earth as a sick, deteriorating organism.

The most explicit statement is: 'The earth's vital signs reveal a patient in declining health.' This medical metaphor casts the planet as an ill patient whose condition is worsening.

Additional lines that reinforce the idea include the Brandt Commission's question — 'Are we to leave our successors a scorched planet of advancing deserts, impoverished landscapes and ailing environment?' — and the description of the earth as 'a living organism' whose 'metabolic needs and vital processes' need to be respected.

The statistics about deforestation, the collapse of biological systems (fisheries, forests, grasslands, croplands), and the UN warning that the environment has deteriorated so badly that it is 'critical' in many countries all provide concrete evidence of the planet's declining health.

The author's reference to 'near catastrophic depletion of India's forests' and lands that are 'already virtually treeless' further anchor the title's claim that the planet is ailing.

Answer: Key lines: 'The earth's vital signs reveal a patient in declining health'; Brandt Commission's 'scorched planet of advancing deserts'; UN warning that the environment is 'critical' in many countries; and descriptions of collapsing biological systems and widespread deforestation.

Q2 What does the notice 'The world's most dangerous animal' at a cage in the zoo at Lusaka, Zambia, signify?

SOLUTION

The notice at the zoo in Lusaka, Zambia is a powerful symbolic statement. The cage appears to contain a dangerous animal, but inside there is only a mirror. When visitors look into the cage, they see themselves.

The signification is that human beings are the world's most dangerous animal. Of all the

species on earth, it is mankind that has caused the greatest destruction — cutting down forests, over-fishing, polluting the environment, depleting natural resources, and driving other species to extinction.

The device of the mirror is deliberate: it forces the viewer to confront their own responsibility rather than search for an external culprit. The author uses this anecdote to emphasise that a new awareness has dawned upon mankind — the 'most dangerous animal' has now begun to realise the wisdom of shifting from a system based on domination of nature to one based on partnership with it.

The notice thus serves as a sharp, ironic reminder that environmental destruction is a human problem requiring a human solution.

Answer: The notice signifies that human beings are the world's most dangerous animal — the mirror inside the cage forces every visitor to recognise their own responsibility for environmental destruction.

Q3 How are the earth's principal biological systems being depleted?

SOLUTION

Lester R. Brown identifies four principal biological systems that sustain the global economy: fisheries, forests, grasslands, and croplands. These systems supply not only food but also virtually all raw materials for industry apart from minerals and petroleum-derived synthetics.

The author explains that in large areas of the world, human demands on these systems have reached an unsustainable level — a point at which their productivity is being impaired. When that happens, fisheries collapse, forests disappear, grasslands are converted into barren wastelands, and croplands deteriorate.

Over-fishing is rampant in a protein-hungry world. In poor countries, local forests are being decimated to procure firewood for cooking. Tropical forests — described by Dr Myers as 'the powerhouse of evolution' — are being destroyed, driving several species to extinction.

The world's tropical forests are eroding at forty to fifty million acres a year. The growing use of dung as fuel deprives the soil of a natural fertiliser, compounding the damage to croplands. The World Bank has estimated that a five-fold increase in forest planting is needed just to meet expected fuelwood demand.

In India specifically, a parliamentary Estimates Committee report highlighted the near catastrophic depletion of forests over four decades, with India losing 3.7 million acres of forest per year — the actual loss estimated at eight times the official statistics.

Answer: Over-fishing, large-scale deforestation for firewood and agriculture, conversion of grasslands to wastelands, and deteriorating croplands are depleting the four key biological systems. Tropical forests are being lost at 40–50 million acres a year, fisheries are collapsing, and human claims on all four systems have crossed sustainable levels.

Q4 Why does the author aver that the growth of world population is one of the strongest factors distorting the future of human society?

SOLUTION

The author argues that unchecked population growth puts enormous pressure on the earth's finite resources and undermines the possibility of sustainable development.

He provides striking data: it took mankind more than a million years to reach the first billion (around 1800), but the twentieth century alone added 3.7 billion. The present population stood at 5.7 billion, growing at the rate of one million every four days.

This relentless growth distorts the future in several ways. Development — which could reduce poverty and act as the best contraceptive — becomes difficult when numbers keep rising. The author observes that 'the rich get richer, and the poor beget children', trapping the poor in a cycle: more children does not mean more workers, merely more people without work.

In the Indian context, the author notes that India's population exceeded the combined populations of Africa and South America. Without making population control the topmost priority, the hope of the people would die in 'their hungry hutments'.

The choice, as the author frames it, is stark: either voluntary control of population or the perpetuation of poverty. Population growth therefore distorts the future by outpacing the earth's capacity to provide food, employment, and resources — threatening the very survival of human society.

Answer: Unchecked population growth outpaces the earth's resources, makes development unsustainable, perpetuates poverty (more children means more people without work, not more workers), and strains biological systems beyond recovery — leaving future generations with a depleted planet.

Exercise 4.2 — Talking about the Text

Q1 Laws are never respected nor enforced in India.

SOLUTION

The author makes this point while discussing environmental legislation. Article 48A of the Constitution of India requires the State to protect and improve the environment and safeguard forests and wildlife. Yet, as the author observes with 'endless anguish', this constitutional provision is routinely ignored.

He extends the argument by citing analogous failures: the Constitution also mandates the abolition of casteism, untouchability, and bonded labour, yet these continue to flourish even after decades of the Constitution being in force.

The pattern suggests a systemic gap between law-making and law-enforcement in India. A parliamentary Estimates Committee report confirmed this for forests — India was losing 3.7 million acres of forest a year, with the actual loss estimated at eight times the rate shown in government statistics, meaning official figures themselves mask the scale of the violation.

This raises an important civic question: what is the value of progressive legislation if the will to enforce it is absent? Meaningful environmental protection requires not just laws on paper but active enforcement, public accountability, and a culture of legal compliance.

Students can discuss whether this gap persists today, what mechanisms (such as public interest litigation, media scrutiny, and citizen activism) have been used to bridge it, and what further reforms could strengthen environmental governance in India.

Answer: Despite constitutional provisions (Article 48A) to protect forests and wildlife, India's laws remain largely unenforced — forests are lost at nearly eight times the official rate. The author sees this as a systemic failure of governance, where law-making is not matched by enforcement or political will.

Q2 "Are we to leave our successors a scorched planet of advancing deserts, impoverished landscapes and an ailing environment?"

SOLUTION

This question, raised by the First Brandt Commission Report, frames the central moral dilemma of environmental responsibility. It challenges every generation to think beyond immediate self-interest and consider what legacy they leave for those who come after.

The imagery is vivid and alarming — advancing deserts, impoverished landscapes, an ailing environment — suggesting a world stripped of its productive capacity. If current rates of deforestation, over-fishing, and resource depletion continue, this is precisely the world future generations will inherit.

The question ties directly to the concept of sustainable development, defined in the text as 'development that meets the needs of the present, without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their needs'. Leaving a scorched planet would be the ultimate violation of

this principle.

It also echoes Lester Brown's now-famous reformulation: 'We have not inherited this earth from our forefathers; we have borrowed it from our children.' The moral logic here is one of trusteeship — the current generation holds the earth in trust and must return it in good or better condition.

The discussion can explore what concrete steps — policy, technology, lifestyle change — are needed to ensure the answer to the Brandt Commission's question is 'No, we will not leave such a world'.

Answer: The Brandt Commission's question is a moral call to intergenerational responsibility. It warns that without sustainable development and active conservation, the present generation risks leaving future successors a world of deserts, ruined landscapes, and a broken environment — a violation of the trusteeship we owe our children.

Q3 "We have not inherited this earth from our forefathers; we have borrowed it from our children."

SOLUTION

This statement by Lester Brown encapsulates the philosophy of environmental stewardship with striking elegance. The shift from 'inherited' to 'borrowed' is crucial: inheritance implies permanent ownership with no obligation to return, whereas borrowing implies a duty of care and restitution.

The conventional assumption has been that each generation receives the earth as a gift from the past and may use it as it pleases. Brown inverts this: the true creditors are not our ancestors but our descendants — children and grandchildren who have no voice in today's decisions yet will bear the full consequences of them.

This places an ethical burden on the present. A borrower is expected to return what was borrowed — ideally in the same or better condition. If we deplete forests, exhaust fisheries, poison rivers, and warm the atmosphere, we are defaulting on a loan taken from those who cannot yet demand repayment.

The statement also resonates with Margaret Thatcher's words quoted in the text: 'No generation has a freehold on this earth. All we have is a life tenancy — with a full repairing lease.' Both formulations reject the idea of absolute ownership and insist on obligation.

In practice, this means every economic or developmental decision must factor in its long-term environmental cost — not as charity, but as repayment of a debt we already owe.

Answer: Brown's statement replaces the idea of inheritance (permanent ownership, no obligation) with borrowing (temporary use with a duty to return). It frames the present generation as debtors to their own children — morally obligated to hand back the earth in a liveable condition, not depleted or destroyed.

Q4 The problems of overpopulation that directly affect our everyday life.

SOLUTION

Overpopulation exerts pressure on every aspect of daily life. The most immediate effects are on food, water, shelter, and employment — basic necessities that become scarcer as numbers grow faster than the capacity to provide them.

In countries like India, whose population the author notes exceeds the combined populations of Africa and South America, the strain is particularly acute. Urban areas face overcrowding, congested infrastructure, overburdened public health and education systems, and rising costs of housing.

Food security is directly threatened. The biological systems — fisheries, forests, grasslands, croplands — that feed the world are already being pushed beyond sustainable limits. A rapidly growing population accelerates this pressure, making hunger and malnutrition persistent everyday realities for millions.

The author points out that 'more children does not mean more workers, merely more people without work.' Unemployment and underemployment are direct daily consequences, perpetuating poverty across generations.

Environmental degradation — deforestation for firewood, pollution of water sources, soil exhaustion — feeds back into everyday hardship, particularly for the rural poor whose livelihoods depend directly on natural resources.

The author's conclusion is that voluntary family planning is not merely a demographic policy but a necessity for escaping poverty, and that 'the choice is really between control of population and perpetuation of poverty' — a dilemma experienced concretely in households every day.

Answer: Overpopulation directly causes food insecurity, unemployment, overcrowding, overburdened public services, and environmental degradation — all felt in daily life. The author frames the choice as between voluntary population control and perpetual poverty, since more people without work deepens hardship rather than creating prosperity.

Exercise 4.3 — Thinking about Language

Q1 Find out what these Latin phrases mean. 1. prima facie

SOLUTION

Prima facie (Latin: 'at first face/appearance') means 'at first sight' or 'on the face of it'. It refers to evidence or a case that appears sufficient to establish a fact or raise a presumption of truth unless rebutted.

It is widely used in law: a prima facie case is one that, on initial examination, has enough evidence to proceed to trial.

Answer: Prima facie means 'at first sight' or 'on the face of it' — used to describe something that appears true or valid based on initial evidence, before deeper examination.

Q2 Find out what these Latin phrases mean. 2. ad hoc

SOLUTION

Ad hoc (Latin: 'for this') means 'for a specific purpose' or 'improvised'. It describes something arranged or created for a particular situation rather than planned in advance as part of a general system.

Example of use: An ad hoc committee was formed to address the immediate crisis.

Answer: Ad hoc means 'for a specific purpose' — improvised or arranged for a particular occasion rather than planned systematically.

Q3 Find out what these Latin phrases mean. 3. in camera

SOLUTION

In camera (Latin: 'in a chamber/room') means 'in private' or 'in secret'. It refers to a legal hearing or meeting held in private, away from the public and press, rather than in open court.

Example of use: The sensitive family case was heard in camera to protect the privacy of the children involved.

Answer: In camera means 'in private' — a legal or official proceeding conducted in secret, closed to the public.

Q4 Find out what these Latin phrases mean. 4. ad infinitum

SOLUTION

Ad infinitum (Latin: 'to infinity') means 'endlessly' or 'without limit'. It describes something that continues or is repeated without any end.

Example of use: The committee debated the same point ad infinitum without reaching a decision.

Answer: Ad infinitum means 'endlessly' or 'to infinity' — continuing without limit or conclusion.

Q5 Find out what these Latin phrases mean. 5. mutatis mutandis

SOLUTION

Mutatis mutandis (Latin: 'with the necessary changes having been made') means 'with the appropriate modifications' or 'with the relevant differences taken into account'. It is used when comparing two situations that are largely similar but differ in some details.

Example of use: The same rules apply to the new branch, mutatis mutandis, as to the original office.

Answer: Mutatis mutandis means 'with the necessary changes made' — used when applying a rule or comparison to a new situation with appropriate modifications.

Q6 Find out what these Latin phrases mean. 6. caveat

SOLUTION

Caveat (Latin: 'let him beware') means a warning, caution, or qualification. It is used to signal that a statement or agreement comes with a condition or limitation that the other party should be aware of.

Example of use: He agreed to sign the contract with the caveat that the delivery date could be extended if necessary.

Answer: Caveat means a warning or qualification — a condition attached to an agreement or statement, cautioning the other party about a limitation or exception.

Q7 Find out what these Latin phrases mean. 7. tabula rasa

SOLUTION

Tabula rasa (Latin: 'scraped tablet' or 'blank slate') means a clean slate — a mind or situation that has no pre-existing content, impressions, or commitments. In philosophy, it refers to the theory (associated with John Locke) that the human mind at birth is blank and that all knowledge comes from experience.

Example of use: Starting fresh in a new city, she felt like a tabula rasa, free from her past.

Answer: Tabula rasa means 'blank slate' — a mind or state free of prior impressions; used figuratively to describe a completely fresh start.

Exercise 4.4 — Working with Words

Q1 Locate the following phrases in the text and study their connotation. Then write pairs of sentences using each of the words 'grip', 'dawn', 'usher', 'coin', 'passport' in the literal as well as the figurative sense.
(Phrases: gripped the imagination of / dawned upon / ushered in / passed into current coin / passport of the future)

SOLUTION

The five phrases as used in the text:

'Gripped the imagination of' (figurative) — the Green Movement 'has gripped the imagination of the entire human race', meaning it has seized and held people's minds with intense interest and urgency.

'Dawned upon' (figurative) — 'a new awareness has now dawned upon the most dangerous animal in the world', meaning the realisation has slowly emerged in the consciousness of mankind, as dawn gradually illuminates the dark.

'Ushered in' (figurative) — 'The emerging new world vision has ushered in the Era of Responsibility', meaning it has introduced or led in a new period, as an usher leads someone into a hall.

'Passed into current coin' (figurative) — Thatcher's words have 'passed into the current coin of English usage', meaning they have entered and circulated widely in everyday language, just as a coin passes from hand to hand.

'Passport of the future' (figurative) — 'The environmental problem... is our passport for the future', meaning it is the key or entitlement that opens the way to a better tomorrow, just as a passport grants entry to a country.

Pairs of sentences — literal and figurative:

Grip: Literal — She lost her grip on the rope and nearly fell. | Figurative — The story gripped the readers from the very first page.

Dawn: Literal — We set out at dawn to avoid the afternoon heat. | Figurative — It slowly dawned upon him that he had made the wrong decision.

Usher: Literal — The usher led the guests to their seats in the auditorium. | Figurative — The invention of the internet ushered in a new era of communication.

Coin: Literal — He found an old coin in the ruins. | Figurative — The journalist coined a new term that quickly passed into everyday use.

Passport: Literal — She renewed her passport before the international trip. | Figurative — A good education is a passport to a better future.

Answer: The five phrases use physical actions (gripping, dawning, ushering, coining, a passport) as metaphors for abstract processes — capturing attention, becoming conscious, introducing a new era, entering common usage, and gaining access to opportunity. Each word carries a concrete literal meaning and a richer figurative extension.